C - 34353 - 17313 - MM1 - None

34353 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM1

Respondent: Chelmsford City Council (Miss Laura Percy) [17313] Agent: N/A

Civic Centre, Duke Street, Chelmsford,

CM1 1JE UK

01245 606486

Full Text: Chelmsford City Council Officer's have no specific comments to make on this document.

Summary: Chelmsford City Council Officer's have no specific comments to make on this document.

Change to Plan

Agent:

N/A

S - 34382 - 14167 - MM1 - None

34382 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications MM1

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard

Thomson) [14167] 45 Braemar Crescent

Leigh-on-Sea Essex SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE notes the extended programme which looks to be more realistic

Summary: CPRE notes the extended programme which looks to be more realistic

Change to Plan N/A

C - 34347 - 11321 - MM2 - None

34347 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM2

Respondent: Dr John Victory [11321] Agent: N/A

23, Hillway, Billericay, Essex,

CM11 2LX England

Full Text: Include: Heritage assets must include the existing rights of way passing through the area allocated to the relocated

Rugby Club providing connections between: Rochford railway station and the proposed Business Parks area 3 and

Northside.

Summary: Include: Heritage assets must include the existing rights of way passing through the area allocated to the relocated

Rugby Club providing connections between: Rochford railway station and the proposed Business Parks area 3 and

Northside.

Change to Plan

N/A

C - 34383 - 14167 - MM2 - None

34383 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM2

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard Agent: Thomson) [14167]

45 Braemar Crescent

Leigh-on-Sea Essex SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan

C - 34401 - 18826 - MM2 - None

34401 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM2

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford CM1 10H

UK

Oit

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34401 - 18826 - MM2 - None

34401 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM2

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

Recommend the following change to correctly reflect the historic environment in compliance with the NPPF:

"Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets including below ground archaeology."

Change to Plan

Appear at exam? Not Specified

Soundness Tests

None

C - 34425 - 18736 - MM2 - None

34425 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM2

Respondent: English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) Agent:

[18736]

24 Brooklands Avenue

Cambridge CB2 8BU 01223 582775

Full Text: This is to confirm that we have no objections to any of the main modifications. We note and broadly welcome

additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11, MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan (document reference EXJ0021).

N/A

address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Fian (document reference EA30021).

We note and broadly welcome additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11, MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan

(document reference EXJ0021).

Change to Plan

Summary:

Agent:

N/A

C - 34384 - 14167 - MM3 - None

34384 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM3

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard

Thomson) [14167]

45 Braemar Crescent Leigh-on-Sea

Essex SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE endorsed the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorsed the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan

Agent:

N/A

C - 34385 - 14167 - MM3 - None

34385 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM3

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard

Thomson) [14167] 45 Braemar Crescent

45 Braemar Crescer Leigh-on-Sea

Essex SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan

S - 34402 - 18826 - MM3 - None

34402 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM3

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

S - 34402 - 18826 - MM3 - None

34402 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM3

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Note the removal of "job numbers" from the policy whilst retaining the detail within the supporting text. Summary:

Support the additional mitigation text.

Change to Plan N/A

Not Specified Soundness Tests Appear at exam?

N/A

Agent:

N/A

S - 34386 - 14167 - MM8 - None

34386 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications MM8

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard

Thomson) [14167]

45 Braemar Crescent Leigh-on-Sea

Essex SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan N/A

Agent:

N/A

S - 34387 - 14167 - MM9 - None

34387 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications MM9

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard

Thomson) [14167] 45 Braemar Crescent

Leigh-on-Sea Essex

SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan N/A

C - 34403 - 18826 - MM11 - None

34403 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM11

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34403 - 18826 - MM11 - None

34403 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM11

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

1. Recommend a grammatical change to the proposed wording to clarify the position, as follows:

Delete:

In addition, the development of this area will be required to provide a new junction to provide access to the business park from Cherry Orchard Way. The initial section of the new access road and green corridor from the new junction will also be required to enable access to the development.

Replace with:

In addition, the development of this area will be required to provide a new junction and access road onto Cherry Orchard Way that will serve the development.

The above proposed change is also supported by the Highway Authority.

2. Recommend the following change to correctly reflect the historic environment in compliance with the NPPF:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets below ground archaeology.

Change to Plan

C - 34426 - 18736 - MM11 - None

34426 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM11

Respondent: English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) Agent:

[18736]

24 Brooklands Avenue

Cambridge CB2 8BU 01223 582775

Full Text: This is to confirm that we have no objections to any of the main modifications. We note and broadly welcome

additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11, MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan (document reference EXJ0021).

N/A

Summary: We note and broadly welcome additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11,

MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan

(document reference EXJ0021).

Change to Plan

S - 34404 - 18826 - MM14 - None

34404 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM14

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

S - 34404 - 18826 - MM14 - None

34404 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM14

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary: Note and support the change from passenger numbers to "Air Traffic Movements" as a more appropriate measure.

Change to Plan N/A

Appear at exam? Not Specified

Soundness Tests N/A

C - 34405 - 18826 - MM15 - None

34405 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM15

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford CM1 10H

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34405 - 18826 - MM15 - None

34405 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM15

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

Support the additional text. It is also noted that London Southend Airport has now exceeded 1 million passengers per year which triggers the need to review the Surface Access Strategy. This has been redrafted setting targets including public transport mode share for passengers and employees. It is a requirements that the strategy is approved by Southend Borough Council and work is progressing between the Airport and the Council to finalise the targets.

Change to Plan

C - 34406 - 18826 - MM16 - None

34406 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM16

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford CM1 10H

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34406 - 18826 - MM16 - None

34406 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM16

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

For clarity, it is recommended that the wording in the last bullet point of the proposed additional text is reworded as follows:

Delete:

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration.

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

Change to Plan

C - 34427 - 18736 - MM16 - None

34427 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM16

Respondent: English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) Agent:

[18736]

24 Brooklands Avenue

Cambridge CB2 8BU

01223 582775

Full Text: This is to confirm that we have no objections to any of the main modifications. We note and broadly welcome

additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11, MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan (document reference EXJ0021).

N/A

Summary: We note and broadly welcome additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11,

MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan

(document reference EXJ0021).

Change to Plan

C - 34407 - 18826 - MM23 - None

34407 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM23

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH UK

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34407 - 18826 - MM23 - None

34407 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM23

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

Request confirmation on whether the planning permission clarifies the frequency of the "periodic monitoring" as referred to below in the first paragraph.

periodic measurement and publication of air quality data;

If this is not covered within the permission it is recommended that it is set out within this section, to clarify the position.

Change to Plan

O - 34358 - 11335 - MM25 - None

34358 Object

Proposed schedule of modifications MM25

Respondent: Mr John Fessey [11335] Agent: N/A

12 Exford Avenue Westcliff on Sea SS0 0EF

England 01702 348165

Full Text: The amendments made to the development proposal regarding further increased use of the Airport don't address any of

the issues regarding environmental and financial impact on existing residents, already affected by the expansion to date. Given SBC and RDC's chequered history on attracting and retaining employment to/in the area, the assumption that increased airport use will on its own help increase employment appears tenuous. The councils should have an obligation to existing residents affected by Airport expansion, to ensure they receive appropriate and adequate compensation. The awaited Government decision on Airport development serving London will likely 'scupper' the plan

anyway!

Summary: The amendments made to the development proposal regarding further increased use of the Airport don't address any of

the issues regarding environmental and financial impact on existing residents, already affected by the expansion to date. Given SBC and RDC's chequered history on attracting and retaining employment to/in the area, the assumption that increased airport use will on its own help increase employment appears tenuous. The councils should have an obligation to existing residents affected by Airport expansion, to ensure they receive appropriate and adequate compensation. The awaited Government decision on Airport development serving London will likely 'scupper' the plan

anyway!

Change to Plan

N/A

S - 34390 - 14167 - MM27 - None

34390 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications MM27

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard Agent:

Thomson) [14167] 45 Braemar Crescent

Leigh-on-Sea Essex SS93RJ UK

01702559274

Full Text: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan N/A

C - 34408 - 18826 - MM27 - None

34408 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM27

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34408 - 18826 - MM27 - None

34408 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM27

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

Recommend the following change to correctly relfect the historic environment in compliance with the NPPF.

"Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets including below ground archaeology."

Change to Plan

Appear at exam? Not Specified

Soundness Tests

None

C - 34428 - 18736 - MM27 - None

34428 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM27

Respondent: English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) Agent:

[18736]

24 Brooklands Avenue

Cambridge CB2 8BU 01223 582775

Full Text: This is to confirm that we have no objections to any of the main modifications. We note and broadly welcome

additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11, MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan (document reference EXJ0021).

N/A

Summary: We note and broadly welcome additional references to the historic environment in main modifications MM2, MM11,

MM16 and MM27. These help address some our original representations on the Submission Area Action Plan

(document reference EXJ0021).

Change to Plan

N/A

S - 34394 - 14167 - MM28 - None

34394 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications MM28

Respondent: Campaign to Protect Rural Essex (Mr Richard Agent: Thomson) [14167]

45 Braemar Crescent

Leigh-on-Sea Essex SS93RJ

01702559274

UK

Full Text: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Summary: CPRE endorses the proposed modifications to this paragraph

Change to Plan N/A

S - 34409 - 18826 - MM31 - None

34409 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM31

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

S - 34409 - 18826 - MM31 - None

34409 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM31

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary: Noted, agree with the amendment.

Change to Plan N/A

MM32

C - 34360 - 18304 - MM32 - None

34360 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

Respondent: Rochford Parish Council (Mrs Janice Rigby) [18304] Agent: N/A

Parish Rooms West Street Essex

SS4 1AS

01702540722

Full Text: Members feel that vehicles should NOT be allowed to turn right into Cherry Orchard Way. There have been a number

of accidents recently on this road, and to allow vehicles to turn right would result in even more RTA's and the resultant

injuries.

Summary: Members feel that vehicles should NOT be allowed to turn right into Cherry Orchard Way. There have been a number

of accidents recently on this road, and to allow vehicles to turn right would result in even more RTA's and the resultant

injuries.

Change to Plan

C - 34410 - 18826 - MM32 - None

34410 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM32

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford CM1 10H

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34410 - 18826 - MM32 - None

34410 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM32

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary: Noted, no comme

Noted, no comments on the amendment.

Change to Plan

C - 34411 - 18826 - MM33 - None

34411 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM33

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford CM1 10H

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34411 - 18826 - MM33 - None

34411 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM33

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

Noted, this is the revised position by Rochford and Southend Council's to amend the policy to discourage traffic from turning right.

Change to Plan

C - 34359 - 8755 - MM34 - None

34359 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications MM34

Respondent: Mr Alan Grubb [8755] Agent: N/A

125 Westbourne Grove, Westcliff on Sea.

Essex SS0 9TT

01702 348622

Full Text:

I am responding to your letter concerning the above modifications to the planning of the Southend Airport, with this in mind I would like to acquaint you with my observations, although I did respond to the initial consultation document a few years ago saying that in my opinion as the developers wish to build a rail station at the airport, there would be a need for a bus interchange to be located on the Southend Road side of the new property. This will then provide an integrated transport system.

This in turn would reduce the private vehicle movements accessing the rail station in the area of Southend Road and Warner's Bridge. As I believed that having a rail station located at the airport, the rail station will in time attract passengers from the local and wider community, and this is clearly evident with other airports, like Stansted.

I now possibly understand why this suggestion was not acted upon; however with further expansion of the airport in and around the airport perimeters now or in the future, together with if I understand correctly, proposals for further residential development within the Rochford and Southend area, including the proposed development before Southend Borough Council at the Prittlebrook Estate. Together with a possible new business park in Rochford, the rail station will undoubtedly attract people who not only will be living in the new properties and commuting to London, but could also attract the people who will be employed at the new business parks, who will be commuting to and from the station located at the airport to their place of work.

There has been an increase in traffic congestion in the area of the Southend Road and Warner's Bridge and the roads leading from Warner's Bridge and this will only escalate in future years.

I would like to propose that as part of the decision making process with regard to this consultation, that provision should be made for a bus interchange to be located outside the entrance to the rail station to accommodate the bus services (no. 7 8 and 9) which at present operate within the immediate area of the airport boundary.

The street lighting in the road leading from the main road to the rail station does need to be improved together with the accessibility for the pedestrian to cross the main road outside the airport.

As an inducement for the bus operator to reroute the bus services into the airport rail station there should be a financial contribution (section 106) placed upon the developers now and in the future.

If this is achieved we will then have an integrated transport system which will benefit the residents of Rochford and Southend for generations to come.

Can you please keep me informed of your decision with regard to the above consultation and any future developments in connection with the above location?

Summary:

I would like to propose that as part of the decision making process with regard to this consultation, that provision should be made for a bus interchange to be located outside the entrance to the rail station to accommodate the bus services (no. 7 8 and 9) which at present operate within the immediate area of the airport boundary.

The street lighting in the road leading from the main road to the rail station does need to be improved together with the accessibility for the pedestrian to cross the main road outside the airport.

As an inducement for the bus operator to reroute the bus services into the airport rail station there should be a financial contribution (section 106) placed upon the developers now and in the future.

Change to Plan

C - 34412 - 18826 - MM34 - None

34412 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM34

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford CM1 10H

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

C - 34412 - 18826 - MM34 - None

34412 Comment

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM34

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary:

Noted, this is the revised position by Rochford and Southend Council's to amend the policy to discourage traffic from turning right.

Change to Plan

S - 34413 - 18826 - MM35 - None

34413 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM35

Respondent: Essex County Council (Lesley Stenhouse) [18826] Agent: N/A

ESH - Spatial Planning Essex County Council County Hall Chelmsford

CM1 1QH

UK

0330 136826

Full Text:

Thank you for notifying Essex County Council of the above consultation. The following is the response from Essex County Council (ECC) to Rochford District Council and Southend Borough Council concerning the above Schedule of Proposed Modifications, covering matters relevant to ECCs statutory service provision and its function as Minerals and Waste Planning Authority. The response does not cover ECC as a landowner and/or prospective developer. A separate response will be made on these matters (if relevant) and that response should be treated in the same way as a response from other developers and/or landholders.

ECC welcomes the opportunity to continue to work with both authorities and the Airport, in respect of the on going redevelopment within South Essex. Overall ECC supports the proposed amendments in principle, which seek to address a number of our earlier concerns, as well as providing the necessary factual updates and clarification to reflect planning permission 09/01960/FULM for the runway expansion at London Southend Airport.

Please find enclosed a schedule of specific ECC comments, observations and recommendations in response to the proposed Main Modification.

I would also like to draw your attention to the comments below, including the specific recommendations and necessary actions required in relation to the Historic Environment.

Historic Environment

Overall support the proposed approach in principle, for the inclusion of an additional criteria across a number of policies, however recommend a slight change to the suggested wording to read as follows:

Proposals should consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets (delete 'or' and replace with including) below ground archaeology. (MM2, MM11 and MM27)

Policy LS2 (last bullet) it is recommended that the wording of the last bullet point is reworded and should be deleted and replaced as follows:

Delete

Consider and appropriately address the impact on heritage assets or below ground consideration

Replace with

Identify the significance of heritage assets impacted by the development and identify appropriate mitigation strategies to protect and/or record them.

The above historic environment recommendations are considered necessary factual corrections to clarify the position in compliance with the NPPF and to ensure the policies are effective. Subject to the above changes ECC has no objections to the Proposed Modifications.

Highways and Transportation

The updates and revisions within MM31, MM32, MM33, MM34 and MM35 are noted and the additional text within MM35 (last bullet) regarding the cycle network is supported.

In respect of the Airports Surface Access Strategy (MM15), ECC support the recognition of the need to update the Strategy and note that this is currently being undertaken within the scope of the planning permission.

General Comments

The inclusion of the following additional policy text across multiple policies is supported:

"The potential detrimental impact on the amenity of nearby dwellings (e.g. noise) will need to be carefully considered and suitably mitigated against" (ref: MM2, 3, 5, 8, 9 and 11).

It is noted that the proposed changes removing the reference to the number of 'Jobs' and retention of 'floorspace' within

S - 34413 - 18826 - MM35 - None

34413 Support

Proposed schedule of modifications

MM35

policies is an appropriate update given that the detail is retained within the Plans supporting text (MM2, MM3 etc).

It is recommended that the summary list of policies on Page 23 are updated to reflect the changes set out within the Schedule of Proposed Modifications.

ECC support the clarification and re-numbering of the Saxon Business Park phased development, within the Plan and Proposals Map.

ECC welcome the attached SA/SEA Addendum looking at the potential impacts resulting from the Schedule of Proposed Modifications to the JAAP. The approach effectively screens each MM for an impact on the previous SA, which is a welcomed and through approach. The content and deductions made appear adequate and reasonable.

Overall ECC is supportive of the proposed modifications, subject to the above comments. Please contact me if you would like to discuss these comments further.

Summary: Support the updates within Policy T5 and revisions to the last bullet point regarding the cycling strategy.

Change to Plan N/A